RESOLUTION OF BOARD MEETING OF

REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, HOOGHLY

HELD ON 15.07.2019

Proceeding of the Meeting of RTA Board, Hooghly held on 15.07.2019 in the office chamber of the Chairman, RTA and District Magistrate, Hooghly in presence of:

1. Shri Y. Ratnakara Rao, I.A.S., Chairman, RTA and District Magistrate, Hooghly.
2. Muzaffar Khan, Member, RTA, Hooghly.
3. Shri Subhendu Sekhar Das, Secretary, RTA and R.T.O, Hooghly.

Shri Y. Ratnakara Rao, I.A.S., Chairman, RTA and District Magistrate, Hooghly took the chair and presided over the meeting. Shri Subhendu Sekhar Das, Secretary, RTA and R.T.O, Hooghly placed the Agendas for taking decisions thereof.

Secretary, RTA, Hooghly

Member, RTA, Hooghly

Chairman, RTA, Hooghly
## Resolution of the RTA Board Meeting dated 15.07.2019

### A- Court Case:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N.</th>
<th>Agenda</th>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>W.P. No. 29473(W) of 2017 of Prakash Ch. Rang vs State with direction of the Hon'ble High Court to re-consider the petition of the petitioner giving an opportunity of hearing in accordance with law.</td>
<td>The petitioner was applied for new Mini Bus permit in the route no. 225 (Uttarpara to Bhagbatipur extended upto Dakshineswar) vide receipt no. PA-127243 and rejected due to absent on call in the hearing before the RTA Board. As per direction of the Hon'ble High Court, the application of the petitioner may be considered.</td>
<td>In compliance with the order of the Hon'ble High Court, the prayer of the petitioner is considered subject to submission of fresh application.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2    | 1. W.P. No. 11871(W) of 2018 of Nejamuddin vs State  
2. W.P. No. 11843(W) of 2018 of Sk. Yasin vs State  
3. W.P. No. 11852(W) of 2018 of Md. Israfil vs State  
4. W.P. No. 11854(W) of 2018 of Md. Manuwar Alam vs State  
5. W.P. No. 11857(W) of 2018 of Md. Asraf vs State  
6. W.P. No. 11865(W) of 2018 of Babulal Das vs State  
7. W.P. No. 11867(W) of 2018 of Md. Sahid vs State  
8. W.P. No. 11868(W) of 2018 of Biswajit Shaw vs State with direction of the Hon'ble High Court to re-consider the petition of the petitioner giving an opportunity of hearing in accordance with law. | The petitioners were applied for new Auto Rickshaw route permit in the route no. 155/Auto (Serampore Court to Konnagar Bata via T.C. Goswami Street). The route in question was under the jurisdiction of KMA and issuance of new permit in KMA was restricted as per notification no. 3438-WT, dated 02.08.2004. Now, as per latest Govt. notification and considering the auto rickshaw policy of Transport Department, W.B., restriction on issuance of Auto Rickshaw permit in KMA is relaxed but considering some significant issues like over congestion, Law & order, scarcity of suitable auto stand, different mode of existing transport services etc. in Chandannagar Police Commissionerate Area, a committee has been formed by RTA, Hooghly in its meeting dated 14.02.2019 for administrative report on creation of new routes, variation in existing routes, issuance of new permits, fleet strength etc. relating to auto rickshaw. Fresh Applications of new auto rickshaw permit within the Chandannagar Police Commissionerate Area may be considered for discussion by the RTA, Hooghly, accordingly after receiving the report from the committee. | The petitioners are absent during hearing on call. Let the petitioners be called for hearing in the next RTA Board meeting. |
| 3    | W.P. No. 25558(W) of 2017 of Amirul Islam Mallick vs State with direction of the Hon'ble High Court to re-consider the petition of the petitioner giving an opportunity of hearing in accordance with law. | Petitioner raised objection for extension of the Tata Magic route no. 35/TM/CC (Tarakeswar to Jangipara extended upto Bargachia via Sitapur, Jagatbhalavpur) without taking NOC from RTA, Howrah as a portion of the extended route in under the jurisdiction of RTA, Howrah. But as per survey, the extended portion within the region of Howrah is not more than 5 k.m., hence no concurrence is required from RTA, Howrah. The route is connected with a wide part of Howrah district and the Tarakeswar Temple in Hooghly. | Heard the petitioner. Extension was allowed in the fringed area in terms of Rule 104 of WBMVR, 1989. Hence, the objection is sustained. Reasoned order issued. |

### B- Formulation of new route:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N.</th>
<th>Agenda</th>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>A proposal has been received for formulation of new Auto Rickshaw route from Kamarpukur Rail Station to Selampur via Krishnahganj More from Prodhan, Badanganj Fului-II G.P.</td>
<td>As per route survey, distance of the route 18 km approx out of which 16 km overlapped with buses of route no. 21, Express buses and Mini Buses are also available on that portion. Hence, the proposal may not be allowed.</td>
<td>Proposal for formulation of new Auto Rickshaw route is not allowed due to maximum portion of the route is on bus route as per G.O. No. 268-WT/3M-01/2010Pt dated 29/1/2010.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Secretary, RTA  
* Chairman, RTA
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## C- Ratification for issuance of NOC:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N.</th>
<th>Agenda</th>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Secretary, RTA, Bankura has sent letter vide no. 1384/MV, dated 05.10.2018 for issuance of NOC for the Bus route Bankura to Kamarpukur via. Bishnupur, Joypur, Moynapur, Lego Joyrambat.</td>
<td>As proposed by the Secretary, RTA, Bankura, a portion of the route from Joyrambat to Kamarpukur is under the jurisdiction of RTA, Hooghly distance of which 5 km approx and in good condition. In this regard, NOC has been issued to RTA, Bankura for issuance of permit and the matter may be ratified in this meeting.</td>
<td>Approved.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## D- Transfer of Permit:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N.</th>
<th>Agenda</th>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sri Bholanath Ghosh have prayed for transfer of permit vide no. 955/2001 in respect of vehicle no. WB15B2180 (Bus) plying in the route no. 11 (Gurap to Kalna) to Sri Dibyendu Saha.</td>
<td>It has been found from the prayer of the applicant that he wants to Transfer of Permit and joint petition received from both seller &amp; purchaser. The Bus will ply on the same route. May be allowed.</td>
<td>The case of both transfer of ownership of vehicle &amp; permit only is allowed in the same route subject to maintain all formalities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Smt. Binita Pal has prayed for surrender of permit vide no. PSP-25335 in respect of vehicle no. WB15A7980 (Bus) plying in the route no. 8.</td>
<td>The bus will purchase by Sri Biswanath Ghosh and he has received a replacement order against permit no. 3608 in c/w route no. 11 and the bus will also ply in the route no. 11.</td>
<td>The case of both transfer of ownership of vehicle &amp; permit only is allowed in the same route subject to maintain all formalities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sri Sajal Ghosh has prayed for transfer of permit vide no. PSP-38583 in respect of vehicle no. WB15C5173 (Trekker) plying in the route no. 59/TR/ST (Gurap to Kalna) to Sri Goutam Dholey.</td>
<td>It has been found that the permit holder is Sri Sajal Ghosh and Owner of the vehicle is Sri Goutam Dholey. First transfer of permit may be made in the name of Goutam Dholey and another joint petition has been made where Sri Dholey wants to transfer of permit in the name of Smt. Tusi Purkait. So 2nd transfer of permit may be made in the name of Tusi Purkait. The vehicle will ply in the same route. May be allowed.</td>
<td>The case of both transfer of ownership of vehicle &amp; permit only is allowed in the same route subject to maintain all formalities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Sri Swarup Goswami has prayed for transfer of permit vide no. PSP-8687 in respect of vehicle no. WB157871 (Trekker) plying in the route no. 01/TR/ST (Tarakeswar to Bhiapore) to Sri Arabinda Pal.</td>
<td>Joint petition has been received from both seller &amp; purchaser. The vehicle will ply in the same route. Hence, the proposal may be allowed.</td>
<td>The case of both transfer of ownership of vehicle &amp; permit only is allowed in the same route subject to maintain all formalities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Sri Prahlad Mondal has prayed for transfer of permit vide no. PSP-25264 in respect of vehicle no. WB15B0789 (Bus) plying in the route no. 30 (Arambagh to Kotalpur) to Sri Sanjoy Pal.</td>
<td>Joint petition has been received from both seller &amp; purchaser. The vehicle will ply in the same route. Hence, the proposal may be allowed.</td>
<td>The case of both transfer of ownership of vehicle &amp; permit only is allowed in the same route subject to maintain all formalities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Sri Rajkumar Mondal has prayed for transfer of permit vide no. PSP-20493 in respect of vehicle no. WB15C0804 (Bus) plying in the route no. 30 (Arambagh to Kotalpur) to Sri Sanjoy Pal.</td>
<td>Joint petition has been received from both seller &amp; purchaser. The vehicle will ply in the same route. Hence, the proposal may be allowed.</td>
<td>The case of both transfer of ownership of vehicle &amp; permit only is allowed in the same route subject to maintain all formalities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Sri Tapas Pal has prayed for transfer of permit vide no. 621/97 in respect of vehicle no. WB15A1771 (Bus) plying in the route no. 253 (Tarakeswar to Memari) to Sk Anahar Ali.</td>
<td>Joint petition has been received from both seller &amp; purchaser. The vehicle will ply in the same route. Hence, the proposal may be allowed.</td>
<td>The case of both transfer of ownership of vehicle &amp; permit only is allowed in the same route subject to maintain all formalities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N.</th>
<th>Agenda</th>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Sri Panchanan Ghosh has prayed for transfer of permit vide no. PSP-8366 in respect of vehicle no. WB151582 (Trekker) plying in the route no. 35/TR/ST (Dasghara to Gurap) to Faruk Molla.</td>
<td>The owner of the permit has prayed for transfer of permit in the name of Faruk Molla. The vehicle will ply in the same route. Hence, the proposal may be allowed.</td>
<td>The case of both transfer of ownership of vehicle &amp; permit only is allowed in the same route subject to maintain all formalities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Sri Sajal Nayek &amp; Sri Shyamal Patra have prayed for transfer of permit vide no. 515/96 in respect to vehicle no. WB15B4749 plying in the route no. 13 (Pandua to Kalna) to Sri Dibakar Patra.</td>
<td>Joint petition has been received from both seller &amp; purchaser. The vehicle will ply in the same route. Hence, the proposal may be allowed.</td>
<td>The case of both transfer of ownership of vehicle &amp; permit only is allowed in the same route subject to maintain all formalities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Sri Atanu Bhattachrjee has prayed for transfer of permit vide no. 57/T/94 in respect to vehicle no. WB15A6552 plying in the route no. 42/TR/ST (Chinsurah to Pandua) to Sri Samir Kr. Pal.</td>
<td>Declaration has been received from the seller. The vehicle will ply in the same route. Hence, the proposal may be allowed.</td>
<td>The case of both transfer of ownership of vehicle &amp; permit only is allowed in the same route subject to maintain all formalities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Smt. Sulata Kundu has prayed for Transfer of permit vide no. 162/Auto in respect of vehicles no. WB15B2454 (Auto) in her name as legal here ground.</td>
<td>The actual owner of the said permit &amp; auto Rickshaw was Lt. Amal Kundu, husband of Smt. Sulata Kundu. Now, as per legal here the permit may be transferred in the name of Smt. Sulata Kundu (wife) and Sri Aritra Kundu (son).</td>
<td>The case of both transfer of ownership of vehicle &amp; permit only is allowed in the same route subject to maintain all formalities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E- Miscellaneous:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N.</th>
<th>Agenda</th>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>One representation has been received by CMRO for non-availability of direct bus service from Khanakul to Burdwan.</td>
<td>There is an existing Bus route from Garerghat to Burdwan via. Khanakul, Mayapur, Arambagh where bus service is not available. This route may be restored for direct connectivity from Khanakul to Burdwan and applications may be considered.</td>
<td>Approved.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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19. Permit holders of route nos. 508/Auto (Gobra Station to Chanditala-II BDO Office), 475/Auto (Khanyan Station to Pakri), 22/Auto (Pandua Station tom Panch Ghara), 17/Auto (Khanyan to Kamarpara), 451/Auto (Jirat Bus Stand to Bakulia), 452/Auto (Sherpur Bus Stand to Bakulia), 221/Auto (Jirat Bus Stand to Bashna) & 50/TM/CC (Seakhala to Haripal Station) have prayed for not to issue further new permits in the said routes.

1. 508/Auto (Gobra Station to Chanditala-II BDO Office), existing permit-28, New offer letter issued 12.
2. 475/Auto (Khanyan Station to Pakri), existing permit-28
3. 22/Auto (Pandua Station to Panch Ghara), existing permit-15, New applications received =6
4. 17/Auto (Khanyan to Kamarpara), existing permit-51, New applications received =4
5. 451/Auto (Jirat Bus Stand to Bakulia), existing permit-33
6. 452/Auto (Sherpur Bus Stand to Bakulia), existing permit-35
7. 221/Auto (Jirat Bus Stand to Bashna), existing permit-11
8. 50/TM/CC (Seakhala to Haripal Station), existing permit-8

All these routes are to be inspected and objections to be justified.

20. Sri Aditya Roy has prayed for change of route in the offer letter which was granted in favour of him in the RTA Board meeting dated 22.03.2018.

The applicant claimed that he wants to applied for auto rickshaw permit for the route 467/Auto (Betarmore to Porabazar) but mistakenly it was done for the route no. 463/Auto (Gojar More to Bahirkhand Station) which is so far from his residence.

The respective offer letter is invalid. Hence, the prayer is rejected. The applicant may apply afresh.

21. The permit holder of auto route no. 454/Auto (Somra Station to Inchura) have prayed for extension of route upto Khanyan Station from Inchura via Gandighar.

As per route survey report, distance of the actual route 7.4 km. and proposed extension portion 14.5 km. total 21.09 k.m. which is too long for auto rickshaw. The Khanyan station is a source of eight another auto routes. The main part from Inchura to Gandighar (9.7 k.m.) is on Kalna-Pandua High Way (13 no. Bus route).

Hence, proposal may not be allowed.

The proposal for extension of Auto Rickshaw route is not allowed as per G.O. No. 268-WT/3M-01/2010Pt I dated 29/1/2010.

22. Decisions are taken to follow up the matters:

A. RTA Board meeting will be held on 15th of every month at 03.00 p.m. In case of holiday, meeting will be conducted on immediate next working day in the same time.

B. Route connectivity network and mode of transport available should be projected in next RTA Board meeting.

C. New routes formulated in last five years should be reported.

D. Transportation service in the newly constructed route as well as rural area should be demanded from the local bodies.

E. Any vulnerable bridges/culverts where public transportation is available, should be identified.

F. Decision should be communicated to the all applicants.

Secretary, RTA

Member, RTA

Chairman, RTA
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F- Applications for new route permits:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N.</th>
<th>Agenda</th>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>New application for issuance of new permit.</td>
<td>Details of applications are tabulated in separate sheet as marked “Annexure A”. Application for Bus: 15, Trekker: 1, Tata Magic: 9, Auto: 399</td>
<td>ADM (Transport) is entrusted to take hearing of the applicants.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G- Auto Rickshaw Policy under Chandannagar Police Commissionerate:

In terms of Auto Rickshaw Policy of Transport Department, vide notification no. 3944-WT/3M-01/2010 Pt.II, dated 14.08.2018, restriction in issuance of Auto permit in KMA has been relaxed in Hooghly district. But there are some significant problem such as over congestion, Law & order, scarcity of suitable auto stand, availability of different mode of transport etc. for issuance of new route & permit. In this context, RTA, Hooghly in its Board meeting has formed a committee with ADCP, Traffic of CPC and concerned SDOs for necessary enquiry and report regarding over congestion, Law & order, scarcity of suitable auto stand, availability of different mode of transport etc.

Accordingly, as per decision of the RTA Board, a letter has been issued to the Commissioner of Police, Chandannagar Police Commissionerate, vide memo no. 246/MV, dated 31.05.2019 along with details of Auto Routes including existing strength under Chandannagar Police Commissionerate to submit report by one month on the basis of the following points which will be discussed in the next RTA Board meeting.

1. Whether new auto rickshaw route could be created, if so, please specify the details along with fleet strength.
2. Whether further auto rickshaw permit could be provided in the existing auto route, if so, please specify the additional strength.
3. Extension of existing auto route specifying the proposed extended portion along with fleet strength.

The report yet to be received to this effect.

It is also to be mentioned that the Member, RTA, Hooghly, has already recommended for consideration for 578 nos. of candidates for new Auto Rickshaw permits in the routes under Chandannagar Police Commissionerate area, most of which were rejected earlier due to restrictions in KMA.

Apart from, a huge nos. of proposals have also been received through MLA, Chinsurah-Mogra, for regularization of existing autos plying in different routes under Commissionerate Area.

Mr. Sanjib Dutta, Ld. Advocate, High Court, Calcutta has prayed to recall the resolution of RTA, Hooghly, dated 19.09.2018 on the same point to issue new auto rickshaw permit in the route Ballykhali to Bagkhali and different routes under Commissionerate Area and submitted a list of 350 applications which were also rejected in the same reason.

Apart from that a large nos. of applications were also rejected earlier in the same ground.

Hence, in the matter of regularization of existing auto rickshaws and issuance of new permits under Commissionerate area may be taken into consideration with a proper policy.

Decision: All existing routes under Chandannagar Police Commissionerate should be inspected by MVIs in terms of G.Os & Notifications related to Auto Rickshaw permit. Report should be submitted in the next RTA Board meeting. Report from Police Authority should also be collected by 31.07.2019.

Secretary, RTA

Member, RTA

Chairman, RTA