

MISC. AGENDA FOR RTA BOARD MEETING

DATED: 23.06.2016

-: 1 :-

Sl No	Agenda	Observation	Decision
1	<p>W.P. No. 26249 (W) of 2015 and CPAN 473 of 2016 of Raosanara Begam has been received from Ld. Advocate Mr. Biswapriya Samanta with direction of the Hon'ble High Court to consider the application after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.</p>	<p>The petitioner applied for new Trekker permit on the route from Fidler Road to Chinsurah Stn. (05/TR/ST) and rejected in the following grounds :</p> <p>The petitioner is recalled for hearing as per earlier decision of the RTA, Hooghly dated 10.06.2016 and with direction to MVI(NT) to place survey report of that route before the next board meeting dated 23.06.2016 i.e today.</p> <p>Now, it has been observed that already 27 nos. of Buses of route no. 17, 20 nos. of buses of route no. 18, 1 no. of bus of route no. 34, 3 nos. of buses of route no. 145, 9 nos. of Trekkers of route no. 05/TR/ST plying along same alignment on that route in a very low frequency and a large nos. of Trekkers, Tata Magic, Autos are also covering most portion of the route i.e:</p> <p>(1). 3 nos. of Trekkers of route Chinsurah Court to Harit Bus Stand (overlapped Chinsurah Stn. to Harit),                  (2). 20 nos. of Tata Magic of route Tata Magic route Chinsurah Station to Pownan (overlapped Chinsurah Station to Alinagar),                  (3). 26 nos. of Auto of Route Taldanga to Delhi Road (overlapped Chinsurah Stn. to Delhi Road)</p> <p>Further that Tarakeswar Chinsurah Bus Syndicate, Haripal-Chinsurah Bus Syndicate filed objection for allowing any new permit for the route in question. Also from the route survey, it is revealed that there is no such demand of new vehicle permit of the route as per public opinion is considered. As per notification no. 509-STA/SC/7E-362/2014 dated 10.07.2014, that this route is neither un serviced nor under serviced is also considered.</p>	<p>The petitioner is heard and considered again and rejected her application as per notification no. 509-STA/SC/7E-362/2014 dated 10.07.2014 and also objection raised from concerned Bus Association &amp; report of MVI (NT).</p>
2	<p>W.P. No. 24558 (W) of 2015 of Ashim Paul has been received from Ld. Advocate Mr. Amlan Kr. Mukherjee. With direction of the Hon'ble High Court to consider the application after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.</p>	<p>The applicant applied for new Auto Rickshaw permit on the route no. 17/Auto (Khayan to Kamarpara, via. Sikre) and his application was rejected due to not having any idea about the location or character of the route and so totally uncertain about the business opportunity.</p> <p>The petitioner is recalled for hearing today as he was absent on the time hearing held 10.06.2016.</p> <p><b>Now, it has been observed that the applicant is an Auto permit holder and at present granting of Auto Rickshaw permit will not be possible as per notification no. 1734-WT/3M-01/2010 Pt.1 dated 11.05.2012 new permit shall not be granted to the existing permit holder.</b></p>	<p>The petitioner is absent on call at the time of hearing. His application is rejected as per notification no. 1734-WT/3M-01/2010 Pt.1 dated 11.05.2012 as he is an existing Auto permit holder. The Secretary, RTA is directed to communicate the decision to all concerned.</p>



Regional Transport Authority  
Hooghly



Regional Transport Authority  
Hooghly



Regional Transport Authority  
Hooghly

